

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-10, and 17-79 are pending. Claims 1, 32, 51, 52, 63, and 64 are amended. Claims 2 and 11-16 were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 80-83 were withdrawn from consideration. The remaining claims are unchanged.

Applicant's attorney thanks the Examiner for discussing the above-identified application in the telephone interview of June 6, 2007.

In the June 6th interview, Applicant's attorney and the Examiner discussed independent claim 1 and the cited references, Stewart and Ghoneimy. In the interview, the Examiner stated that an updated search was needed in view of the amendments to the independent claims.


Because an updated search is needed, it is Applicant's understanding that the cited references no longer support the obviousness rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Accordingly, Applicant requests that the outstanding obviousness rejection be withdrawn.

As discussed in the telephone interview, the feature of logical routing is described in the application as originally filed at paragraphs 1087-1096. The examples mentioned during the telephone interview are set forth in paragraphs 1092 and 1093. Applicant respectfully submits that the cited passages in the application as originally filed support the amendments presented above. No new matter has been added.

Conclusion

Applicant believes the pending claims are allowable for the reasons above and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below if the Examiner has any remaining questions or concerns regarding the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER LLP



John F. Griffith
Reg. No. 44,137

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
(510) 663-1100